

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

**FILED**  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

Jan 08, 2021

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK  
By: Jake Kornegay D.C.

DEP CLERK

MDL No. 2741

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -251)**

On October 3, 2016, the Panel transferred 19 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 214 F.Supp.3d 1346 (J.P.M.L. 2016). Since that time, 3,185 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Vince Chhabria.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Chhabria.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 3, 2016, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Vince Chhabria.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.
<b>Jan 07, 2021</b>
<small>CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION</small>

FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols  
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that the annexed  
instrument is a true and correct copy  
of the original on file in my office.

ATTEST:  
SUSAN Y. SOONG  
Clerk, U.S. District Court  
Northern District of California

by:   
Deputy Clerk  
Date: 01/07/2021



**IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2741

**SCHEDULE CTO-251 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
ARKANSAS EASTERN			
ARE	4	20-01495	Fennell v. Monsanto Company
CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN			
CAS	3	20-02176	Hammett et al v. Monsanto Company et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	20-62450	Proctor et al v. Monsanto Company
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	20-07705	Sundararaj v. Monsanto Company
IOWA NORTHERN			
IAN	1	20-00087	Porto v. Monsanto Company
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	20-03439	Legrand v. Monsanto Company
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN			
MSS	1	20-00377	Niolet v. Monsanto Company
SOUTH CAROLINA			
SC	2	20-04302	Kay et al v. Monsanto Company